

SACS REAFFIRMATION 2016 Agenda/Minutes

EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

AGENDA/MINUTES DATE: April 15, 2014



Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges

TEAM 1

Case for Reqs/Stds:

- _ CR2.7.1 Program Length
- _ CR2.7.2 Program Content
- _CR 2.7.3 General Education
- _ CR 2.7.4Coursework for degrees
- —CS3.3.1.4 Research outcome
- _ CS3.3.1.5 Community outcomes
- _ CS 3.4.1Academic pgm approval
- _ CS3.4.5 Academic policies
- _ CS3.4.7 Educational quality/consortial agreements
- _CS3.5.3 Publish pgm requirements
- _ FR4.1 Student Achievement

ITEM	TIME	AGENDA ITEM	PRINCIPLE	ACTIONS	NOTES	ESCALATION ISSUE Y/N
1		Sylvia Aguilera	2.7.1	Review Narrative and Supporting Documents	Reviewed and received some guidance as to relevant questions number five and to what catalog needs to be used when writing narrative. Should use the current catalog, but we aware that when documents are sent back for corrections will need to use the 2014-15 catalog. Certificate programs do not need to be included. Need to incorporate relevant questions into the narrative.	
2		Ana Lisa Garza	2.7.2	Review Narrative and Supporting Documents	Also had concerns about which catalog to use. No relevant questions answered yet. Will continue to utilize Renita Mitchell from Math Dept. regarding math requirements. Mary and Ana Lisa will meet at a later time. Ana Lisa had a question regarding relevant questions that do not pertain to SPC. Mary said that we should make a short statement indicating that something does not pertain to SPC.	
3		Jason Easterling	2.7.4	Review Narrative and Supporting Documents	Needs to choose one degree to show that we are in compliance with these criteria. Evidence will be documented via ECatalog. There are a few standalone certificates; however there is no accreditation for certificates only degrees. Jason has nothing in draft form; however, he knows what direction he is going. Jason will get help from Pete and at next meeting will have a draft.	
4		Pete Cliburn	3.5.3	Review Narrative and Supporting Documents	Pete went over the relevant questions and said that items #1 and 4 were from the five year review.	
5		Mary Kunz		Criteria Completion Confidence Level Rating from 1-5	CR 2.7.1= 4; CR 2.7.2= 4; CR 2.7.3= 3.5; CR 2.7.4 = 3; CS 3.3.1.4 = NA; CS 3.3.1.5=5 (complete); CS 3.4.1=3.5; CS 3.4.5=4; CS 3.5.3=4; FR 4.1=4; FR 4.2=1; FR 4.4=4	
6						

7	Mary Kunz	Submission	After the final drafts are submitted it is a possibility that they will get sent back for corrections during the fall semester.
8	Next Meeting	April 22, 2014	
9	Next Meeting	April 29, 2014	
10			