ALAMO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

FACULTY EVALUATION

for Temporary Faculty

Name: Department: Rank:		Evaluation Period SSN:	-							
		Date of Employment:								
SAC SPC	PAC	Dept. Chairperson/Supervisor:								
	CENEDA	AL STATEMENT		-		-				
The purposes of the performance performance, thus contributing to concerning other institutional peenhanced communication, creation enhancement of the quality of fact this summary is to be used by the	evaluation are (1) to p professional growth and de rsonnel actions. Desirabl of an atmosphere wherein culty performance as relate	provide information evelopment and (2) to e outcomes of the the faculty developed to the overall m	to provevaluate prepared to the property of the province of th	ide infaion pro an be of the	ormatic ocess i readily	on for nclude / reali	use in impro ized ar	recomm ved ins	mendations struction, essed, and	
The evaluation is considered a joconcerned. Essential elements are evaluation. Professional, philos single action or occurrence, whet and overall performance should be extremely favorable or unfavorable categories should form the basis	e objectivity, respect for ophical, and/or personal of the favorable or unfavorable given due consideration. L. While all categories mig	academic freedom, differences should ble, provide the so Documentation mus ht not be weighed e	and a d not de le basi et be a qually,	focus o termine s for a vailabl	n job r e judge an asse le to s	require ments ssment upport	ments render . Rat asses	as the ed; now her, constants	basis for r should a onsistency which are	
Prior to the evaluation, both to Following complete, fair, and open for written comments is provided.	discussion of the evaluati	e department chair ion, the faculty mer	person mber sh	should ould be	l revie given	w the ample	posit	ion de: o respo	scription. ond. Space	
		SITION DESCRIPTION								
Have faculty member and departmen	t chairperson reviewed pos	ition description?		_Yes	N	lo				
	PERFORMANCE CHARACT		TENCIES	3		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			***	
	well; a model for other i				•					
	; demonstrates above avera		_							
3 SATISFACTORY: performs in m 2 MARGINAL: demonstrates	minimally acceptable teach	average performanc ling skills; some c	t. hanges	should	be mad	le to i	mprove)		
performance.	•									
1 UNSATISFACTORY: does not meet	minimum requirements; maj	jor changes must be	made t	to impr	ove ber	forman	ce.			
O NOT APPLICABLE: not able to o	bserve/not relevant.									
. 11	PLEASE WRITE AM EV IDICATING ONE OF THE PERFO	- ·			WE .				_	
INPORTANT FACTORS/COMPETENCIES			PERFORM	ANCE	 				_	
						·			_	
TEACHING QUALITY OF TEACHING										
1) Maintains course syllabus	/outline and provides		5	4	-3	2	1	0		
each student with a writt	en statement of course		_	•	_			-		
requirements at the begin	ning of the semester.									
2) Prepares and delivers led	tures, leads discussions,		5	4	3	2	1	0		
conducts laboratory demon										
other activities which ar requirements of the cours	e related to and meet the									
3) Conducts evaluations of s			5	4	3	2	1	0		
assigns grades on the bas			-	9	_	-		٠		
NANAGEHENT									_	
4) A) Maintains records of a			5	4	3	2	1	0		
grades according to co B) Fulfills department re	ollege and state policy.			•						
B) Fulfills department re		•								

Policy Reference: DLA LOCAL Exhibit C

5) is accessible to students as needed.			5	4	3	2	1	0
 Assists students with academic and car advisement and assistance as needed. 	eer ,		5	4	3	2	1	0
STUDENT SURVEYS								
 Administers student surveys in his/her as indicated by district policy; discuresults with departmental chair. 	classes sses		5	4	3	2	1	0
SERVICE			*					
8) Fulfills departmental duties and respo	nsibilities		5	4	3	2	1	0
9) Donates academic expertise to local, s national community.	tate or		5	. 4	3	2	1	0
PROFESSIONAL GROWTH 10) Meintains professional skills and subjective expertise through study and involvement professional organizations.	ect t in		5	4	3	2	1	0
COMMENTS 11) OVERALL APPRAISAL	BY DEPARTMENTAL	. CHAIRPERSON O		NEE	<u>:</u>			
TITO OFERALL APPRAISAL			5	. 4	3	2	1	-0
						,		
•								
				,				
s the faculty member recommended for re-electi	ion? Yes	No						
I concur with this evaluation.	COPPLENTS BY F	ACULTY MEMBER	oncur L	iith th	is eva	luatio	n.	
		•						
						•		
	SIGNA	TURES						
certify that I have read and discussed this e	evaluation with t	this faculty me	mber.					
Evaluator		•						
		Date						
certify that I have read and discussed this e	evaluation with t	the evaluator.						
Faculty Member		Date		•				
		vele						
eviewed By:								
Signature	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Date						

Original to District Personnel Office: copies to faculty member and departmental chairperson