### SAN ANTONIO COLLEGE

## RUBRIC FOR EVALUATING DEPARTMENT/UNIT STRATEGIC ASSESSMENT PLAN

## Evaluating

Missing	Under Developed	Adequately Developed	Well Developed	Score
Score = 0	Score = 1 – 3	Score = 4 – 7	Score = 8 – 10	
□ None	<ul> <li>Does not include key elements such as purpose, primary function, or stakeholders</li> <li>Does not align with division/college/district mission</li> <li>Statement is vague, unclear, or inaccurately represents unit</li> <li>NOTES:</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Includes statement of purpose</li> <li>Identifies stakeholders</li> <li>Aligned with college's/division's/department's mission</li> <li>Aligned with relevant professional organizations (as applicable)</li> <li>Understandable and appropriate</li> <li>NOTES:</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Clearly, concisely, accurately, and succinctly written</li> <li>Clear and well-written purpose</li> <li>Identification of stakeholders</li> <li>Aligned/consistent with</li> <li>college's/division's/department's mission</li> <li>Notes unique aspects of unit</li> <li>Creates a sense of team and "buy-in"; "we"</li> <li>perspective</li> <li>Inspirational and aspirational</li> <li>Aligned with relevant professional</li> <li>organizations (as applicable)</li> </ul>	Mission Statement Score:
Example Missio Source: San Ant To empower ou populations in a	onio College 2019-2022 Strategic Plan r community for success by meeting the posts globally networked society. To help students	econdary learning needs of all San Antonio achieve their full potential by preparing the	s than 8. College Students through equitable educational practices f em to graduate, transfer, or enter the workforce with effec and quantitative understanding, performance proficiency, a	tive critical

Evaluating

Assessment E	lement – Goals				
Missing	Under Developed	Adequately Developed	Well Developed	Score	
Score = 0	Score = 1 – 3	Score = 4 – 7	Score = 8 – 10		
□ None	<ul> <li>Not aligned with mission statement</li> <li>Too many or too few</li> <li>Too vague</li> <li>Too specific</li> </ul> NOTES:	<ul> <li>Alignment to mission</li> <li>More specific expression of aspirations than mission but still general</li> <li>NOTES:</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Clear alignment of goals to mission</li> <li>Thoughtfully presented with depth and breadth to provide direction</li> <li>Appears feasible and attainable</li> <li>Develops a sense of department/unit intent</li> <li>Highly aspirational</li> </ul> NOTES:	Goals Score:	
Supporting co	mment(s) to justify or explain a Goals	score which is less than 8.			
Student Success Principle-Center Performance Exe Equity Goal 1: D Source: San Anto	ed Leadership Goal 1: Develop strategies and	systems that promote leadership deve precard process to share and cascade go egy and action plan that informs each s (ear 2016-2017	bals, measures, and outcomes aligned to the strategic plan		

Evaluating

Г

Assessment E	Element – Objectives			
Missing	Under Developed	Adequately Developed	Well Developed	Score
Score = 0	Score = 1 – 3	Score = 4 – 7	Score = 8 – 10	
🗆 None	Not aligned with goal	$\Box$ Generally aligned and	Clear and direct alignment with goal	Objectives
	Not measurable or verifiable	associated with goal	Very specific and descriptive	Score:
	🗆 Not attainable	Somewhat specific	Definitely measurable	
	Not relevant or realistic	Measurable	□ Highly attainable	
	Does not include a timeline	Seemingly attainable	Very realistic and relevant	
	□ Lacks association to college's/	□ Appears to be	$\Box$ Includes a clear, well-defined and	
	division's strategic plans	relevant/realistic	succinct timeline	
		Includes some timeline	Clear and logical associations to	
	NOTES:	$\Box$ Is associated to	college's/division's strategic plans	
		college's/division/s strategic		
		plans	NOTES:	
		NOTEC		
		NOTES:		
Supporting co	omment(s) to justify or explain an Ol	<b>ojectives</b> score which is less than	8.	
Example Object		Diam Views 0010,0000		
	ntonio College 2019-2022 Strategic Plan nformed pathways to support student ac			
	ntonio College 2016-2019 Strategic Plan			
	THWAYS GOAL 1: Access			
By Spring of 20	18, SAC will define clear pathways by w	hich students may access the colle	ge	

Evaluating

	Element – Measures	1	· · · ·	1 -
Missing	Under Developed	Adequately Developed	Well Developed	Score
Score = 0	Score = 1 – 3	Score = 4 – 7	Score = 8 – 10	
□ None	<ul> <li>Score - 1 - 3</li> <li>Not aligned to objective</li> <li>Does not use direct measures</li> <li>Does not use existing measure</li> <li>No clear plan for when, where, how measure will be done</li> <li>Measures will not inform the objective</li> <li>NOTES:</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Clear alignment with objective</li> <li>Use of direct measures</li> <li>Planned measure exists (does not have to be created)</li> <li>Plan is evident that defines most aspects of the when, where, how</li> <li>Measures match the objective being measured to produce clear and accurate information/data</li> <li>NOTES:</li> </ul>	Clear alignment with objective  Clear alignment with objective  Effective use of a combination of direct/indirect measures  Assessment instruments, measurement tools developed, clearly described  The plan for when, where, how measure will be given is clear and concise  Results will provide clear evidence of the progress regarding the objective  NOTES:	Measures Score:
Degrees and c Source: San Ar Number of cor KPIs: Enrollme Transfer Data Numbers of No	ntonio College 2019-2022 Strategic Plan – ertificates awarded. ntonio College 2016-2019 Strategic Plan – nnection pathways defined. ent Data of FTIC in Fall 2017 (Institutional (Institutional Research)	Plan Year 2016-2017 <b>Research)</b>	arly College; CE; etc. <b>(Institutional Research)</b>	

## Evaluating

Assessment E	Assessment Element – Targets					
Missing	Under Developed	Adequately Developed	Well Developed	Score		
Score = 0	Score = 1 – 3	Score = 4 – 7	Score = 8 – 10			
🗆 None	$\square$ Not aligned with measure	$\Box$ Some alignment with	Clear alignment with measure	Targets		
	$\square$ No timeframe for completion	measure	Clear timeframe for completion	Score:		
	$\square$ No description of results to be	Timeframe for completion	$\square$ Effective description of results to be achieved			
	achieved	Sufficient description of				
		result to be achieved	NOTES:			
	NOTES:					
		NOTES:				
Supporting co	mment(s) to justify or explain a Targe	ts score which is less than 8.				
Example Targe						
	tonio College 2019-2022 Strategic Plan - F					
•	full-time FTIC graduation by 2 percentag		August 31, 2020.			
	tonio College 2016-2019 Strategic Plan –					
By Spring 2017	, 100% of connection pathways will be de	tinea.				

Evaluating

Score = 0         Score = 1 - 3         Score = 4 - 7         Score = 8 - 10	Assessment Element – Findings						
□ None       □ Data not available this cycle       □ Some findings show       □ One finding per target reported       Findings         □ Findings lack relevance to the goal and the objective       □ All findings reported thoroughly and clearly       Score:         □ Findings indicate that measures and targets were not appropriate for the goal and the objective       □ Multiple findings reported       make improvements       Score:         □ Some findings show how       □ Some findings show how       □ Cone finding per target reported       Score:       Score:	Vell Developed Score						
□ Findings lack relevance to the goal and the objective       adequate relevance to goals and objective       □ All findings reported thoroughly and clearly       Score:         □ Findings indicate that measures and targets were not appropriate for the goal and the objective       □ Multiple findings reported with adequate detail       □ Findings show depth of analysis and comprehensive understanding of data implications       Score:	Score = 8 – 10						
and the objective       objective <ul> <li>All findings show how results will be used to</li> <li>Multiple findings reported</li> <li>Multiple findings reported</li> <li>Findings show how results will be used to</li> <li>Multiple findings reported</li> <li>Multiple findings reported</li> <li>Findings show depth of analysis and</li> <li>Comprehensive understanding of data implications</li> </ul>							
<ul> <li>□ Findings indicate that measures and targets were not appropriate for the goal and the objective</li> <li>□ Multiple findings reported with adequate detail</li> <li>□ Some findings show how</li> <li>□ Some findings show how</li> </ul>							
and targets were not appropriate for the goal and the objectivewith adequate detail $\Box$ Findings show depth of analysis and comprehensive understanding of data implications							
the goal and the objective $\Box$ Some findings show how comprehensive understanding of data implications							
<b>NOTES:</b> improvements time (year-to-year if appropriate)							
NOTES: NOTES:							
Supporting comment(s) to justify or explain a Findings score which is less than 8.							
Example Finding with associated target							
<u>Source:</u> San Antonio College Entity Strategic Plans - Plan Year 2018-2019 Student Success –Target: By the end of each semester, 100% of VA students will be assigned to an advisor.							
Finding (2018-2019) – Target: Met							
Most students are assigned to advisors during NSO. For those students who are not assigned at NSO, advisors are assigned within 2 working days of receiving reports from the data analyst.	orking days of receiving reports from the data analyst.						
Academic Success – Target: 5% increase in the use of our study centers comparing Fall 2017 to Fall 2018 data. <b>Finding</b> (2018-2019) – Target: Not Reported This Cycle							
Mid-Year Update: Usage of the BioSpot, ChemSpot and GeoSpot has continued to rise based on [students'] check-ins and tutoring sessions conducted. Fall to fall comparisons show a 22.15	sions conducted. Fall to fall comparisons show a 22.19%						
increase in student check-ins in all the "Spots" combined and a 31.19% increase in tutoring sessions. We continue to use strategies to increase the visibility of the student centers in each							
department.							

Evaluatin	Ig		Evaluator	
Assessment	Element – Action Plan(s) for Targets w	vith status of: Not Met or Partial	ly Met	
Missing Score = 0	Under Developed Score = 1 – 3	Adequately Developed Score = 4 – 7	Well Developed Score = 8 – 10	Score
□ None	<ul> <li>Score - 1 - 3</li> <li>No action plans evident</li> <li>Action plans not aligned with goals and objectives</li> <li>No timeframe for completion</li> <li>No description of action plans</li> </ul> NOTES:	Score – 4 – 7	Action plans describe how the goals and objectives will be accomplished Action plans are detailed, and show sequential steps to be taken to implement the strategies selected Action plans are detailed enough to act as a monitoring process for the department/unit Action plans describe how the department/unit plans to review and evaluate progress on the goals, objectives, and actions <b>NOTES:</b>	Action Plan(s) Score
Example Action Source: San Ant Description: Wo	omment(s) to justify or explain an Acti n Plan tonio College Strategic Plan – Plan Year 2016-2 orkforce and transfer programs have been ma n Status: Planned	2017		

Evaluating

Г

Assessment	Element – Strategic Planning Outcome	es Analysis		
Missing	Under Developed	Adequately Developed	Well Developed	Score
Score = 0	Score = 1 – 3	Score = 4 – 7	Score = 8 – 10	
□ None	<ul> <li>Analysis is incomplete or is not provided</li> <li>Analysis lacks relevance to the goal and objective</li> <li>NOTES:</li> <li>nomment(s) to justify or explain a Strat</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Analysis questions are poorly answered and do not clearly/adequately answer how assessment findings are used to make improvements</li> <li>Analysis shows relevance to goals and objectives</li> <li>NOTES:</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>All responses to analysis questions are well developed and thoroughly and clearly answered</li> <li>Analysis responses show how assessment findings are used to make improvements</li> <li>Analysis shows relevance to goals and objectives</li> <li>Analysis demonstrates an understanding of the "big picture" implications of this work</li> <li>NOTES:</li> </ul>	Srategic Planning Outcomes Analysis Score:
	<b>e e</b> ,	was new for Spring 2021. Analysis	Questions are incorporated in the Strategic Planning	